UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,047	09/25/2003	Timothy N. Obee	60246-217	6648
26096 7590 03/20/2007 CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1753	•
SHOPTENED STATISTOP	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		V. 1000
SHORTENED STATUTOR	TY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/20/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/671,047	OBEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kishor Mayekar	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examination</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the correct</li></ul>	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in a cority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
•						
Attachment(s)	F-1					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-28, drawn to an air purification system, classified in class 422,

subclass 186+.

II. Claim 29, drawn to a method of desorbing water, classified in class 422,

subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Groups II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by an apparatus

comprising a different structure than the recited photocatalytic coating to desor water.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above

and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because

the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Attorney Karin Butchko on 6 March 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election

being made.

invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or

Application/Control Number: 10/671,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 1753

more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753